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#### Abstract

From the MeOH extract of the seed oil of Euonymus bungeanus, four new $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ dihydroagarofuran sesquiterpene polyol esters were isolated. Their structures were established on the basis of chemical reactions and spectral analyses.


The plants of the Celastraceae are widely distributed in China. The root bark of some species has traditionally been used as natural insecticides (1). Previous studies (2) on Euonymus bungeanus Max. have disclosed the presence of three $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran sesquiterpene polyol esters, which exhibits antifeedant effects against insects of Pieris rapae and Ostrina furnacolis. This paper reports the continued study on E. bungeanus, from which four new sesquiterpene components have been isolated and elucidated as $1 \beta, 2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$-tetraacetoxy-9 $\alpha$-benzoyloxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran $[1], 2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$-tri-acetoxy-1 $\beta, 9 \alpha$-di( $\beta$-furancarbonyloxy)-4 $\alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran [4], $2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$ -triacetoxy-1 $\beta, 9 \alpha$-dibenzoyloxy-4 $\alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran [6], and $2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$ -triacetoxy-1 $\beta$-benzoyloxy- $9 \alpha-\beta$-furancarbonyloxy- $4 \alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran [8].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound 1 analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ by hrms. Its ir spectrum revealed characteristic absorptions of ester groups at 1740 and $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In good agreement with these data its nmr and mass spectra showed the presence of four acetate esters $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr} \delta 1.55\right.$, $2.09,2.12$, and $2.26,4 \times \mathrm{s}, 4 \times 3 \mathrm{H} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{nmr} \delta 20.3,21.2,21.2$, and $21.3,4 \times \mathrm{Me}$, $\delta 169.2,169.8,169.9$, and $170.5,4 \times-\mathrm{COO}-$; ms $m / z 43, \mathrm{Ac}$ ) and one benzoate ester ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr} \delta 7.43-8.06, \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{nmr} \delta 128.3-133.4, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \delta 165.3,-\mathrm{COO}-; \mathrm{ms}$ $m / z 105, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}$ ) (1). In addition, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ (Table 1) and DEPT spectra indicated that the parent skeleton of $\mathbf{1}$ consisted of three methyl carbons ( $\delta 17.8,26.0$, and
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$4 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=0 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{Co}}$
$6 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Bz}_{2}$
$8 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Bz}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ ol $^{\text {I }}$

$2 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}$
$3 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$

$5 \mathrm{R}_{3}=\|_{0}{ }^{\text {co }}$
$7 \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Bz}$

Table 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr Chemical Shifts of 1, 4, 6 , and $8(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

| Carbon | Compound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{C}-1^{2}$ | 69.4 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 68.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}-2^{2}$ | 69.5 | 68.6 | 69.4 | 68.8 |
| C-3 | 30.9 | 42.0 | 42.1 | 42.0 |
| C-4 | 33.2 | 69.7 | 69.7 | 69.8 |
| C-5 | 89.3 | 91.2 | 91.3 | 91.2 |
| C-6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71.6 | 70.7 | 71.3 | 71.2 |
| C-7 | 48.9 | 49.1 | 49.2 | 49.2 |
| C-8 | 34.9 | 34.7 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| C-9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 78.1 | 78.3 | 78.3 | 78.2 |
| C-10 | 53.4 | 55.2 | 55.6 | 55.3 |
| C-11 | 82.7 | 84.5 | 84.6 | 84.5 |
| C-12 | 65.5 | 65.4 | 65.7 | 65.7 |
| Me-13 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.8 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.1 |
| Me-14 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26.0 | 25.4 | 25.8 | 25.6 |
| Me-15 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 30.3 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 29.4 |

${ }^{2, b}$ The data in same column are exchangeable.
30.3), three methylene carbons ( $\delta 30.9,34.9$, and 65.5 ), six methine carbons ( $\delta 33.2$, $48.7,69.4,69.5,71.6$, and 78.1 ), and three quaternary carbons ( $\delta 53.4,82.7$, and 89.3). This parent was identified as the $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran that had five substituents at four methine carbons ( $\delta 69.4,69.5,71.6$, and 78.1 ) and one methylene carbon ( $\delta$ 65.5) (3). Moreover, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr spectrum (Table 2) of $\mathbf{1}$ was very similar, in terms of coupling patterns and coupling constants, to those of derivatives of the $1,2,6,9,12-$ hexasubsubstituted $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran (4). Therefore, the parent of 1 was identified as a $1,2,6,9,12$-hexasubstituted- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran, and thus had the five esters mentioned above located at $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{C}-9$, and $\mathrm{C}-12$.

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr Spectral Data of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{4 - 8}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

| Proton | Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | $5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| H-1 | 5.71 | 5.50 | 5.67 | 4.10 | 5.82 | 4.19 | 5.77 |
|  | d 3.4 | d 3.5 | d 3.2 | d 3.3 | d 3.4 | d 3.2 | d 3.2 |
| H-2 | 5.58 | 5.41 | 5.60 | 3.84 | 5.67 | 3.85 | 5.65 |
|  | dd 3.3, 3.4 | dd 3.5, 3.6 | m | m | m | m | m |
| H-6 | 5.98 | 6.04 | 6.15 | 4.89 | 6.20 | 4.94 | 6.18 |
|  | s | s | s | s | s | s | s |
| H-9 | 5.42 | 5.10 | 5.33 | 5.34 | 5.47 | 5.42 | 5.38 |
|  | d 7.2 | d 6.9 | d 7.2 | d 7.0 | d 7.2 | d 7.1 | d 7.5 |
| 2H-12 | 4.365 .06 | 4.324 .90 | 4.545 .02 | 3.944 .00 | $4.62 \quad 5.11$ | 3.984 .01 | 4.585 .12 |
|  | ABq 13 | ABq 13 | ABq 13 | ABq 12 | ABq 13 | ABq 13 | ABq 13 |
| $\mathrm{Me}-13^{\text {b }}$ | 1.19 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.40 | 1.53 | 1.39 | 1.52 |
|  | d 7.5 | s |  | s |  |  |  |
| Me-14 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.43 | 1.52 | 1.52 | 1.45 | 1.56 | 1.46 | 1.53 |
|  | s | s | s | s | s | s | s |
| $\mathrm{Me}-15^{\text {b }}$ | 1.46 | 1.54 | 1.57 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 1.58 |
|  | s | s | s | s | s | s | s |

${ }^{2}$ These data were determined in DMSO.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The data in same column are exchangeable.

In this class of compounds, $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-6$ generaliy have the axial configuration (5). The coupling constant ( $J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between Hax-1 and $\mathrm{H}-2$ suggested the presence of an equatorial H-2. One doublet at $\delta 5.42(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ of $\mathrm{H}-9$ suggested the presence of an equatorial H-9 (4). Inspection of molecular model showed that the double-faced angle between Heq-8 and Heq-9 was near $90^{\circ}$.

In this class of compounds, if an acetate ester and an aromatic acid ester are situated at C-1 and C-9 (or C-9 and C-1), respectively, the acetate methyl has its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ signal at higher field than normal (1.9-2.7 ppm) (1,5). Compound 1 had a high-field ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ signal of an acetate methyl at $\delta 1.55$, indicating that the benzoate ester was situated at $\mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{C}-9$. A careful comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ chemical shifts with a derivative (2) of alcohol $3(2,6)$ indicated that the signal for $\mathrm{H}-1$ of 1 was shifted 0.21 ppm downfield from the corresponding signal of $\mathbf{2}$, while the signal for $\mathrm{H}-9$ of $\mathbf{1}$ was shifted 0.32 ppm downfield from the corresponding signal of $\mathbf{2}$. This fact suggested that the benzoate ester was located at C-9. As a result, compound 1 was elucidated as $1 \beta, 2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12-$ tetraaceroxy- $9 \alpha$-benzoyloxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

Compound 4 analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ by hrms. Its nmr, mass, and ir spectra indicated that 4 contained three acetate esters and two $\beta$-furancarboxylate esters (2). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr (Table 2) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr (Table 1) spectra of 4 were very similar to those of the derivative of alcohol 3 (2). In fact, a complete hydrolysis of 4 with $\mathrm{NaOMe} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ gave the major product which had the same $R_{f}$ value on tlc as alcohol 3. Thus the parent of 4 was identified as $1 \beta, 2 \beta, 4 \alpha, 6 \alpha, 9 \alpha, 12$-hexahydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran ( 2,6 ). One free hydroxyl must be present in 4 due to the presence of five esters, and this was confirmed by an ir absorption at $3550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This free hydroxyl group was located at C-4 because the $4-\mathrm{OH}$ in all other compounds of this class is not esterified (5). Therefore, $\mathbf{4}$ had the five esters mentioned above located at C-1, C-2, C-6, C-9, and C-12 of $4 \alpha$-hy-droxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ gave three normal ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ signals at $\delta 2.06,2.12$, and 2.28 for three acetate methyls, which suggested that the esters located at $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-9$ were both acetate esters or both $\beta$-furancarboxylate esters (1). A partial hydrolysis of 4 with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (7) gave a major product 5 , whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectrum exhibited the presence of only one $\beta$-furancarboxylate ester and retained the signal of $\mathrm{H}-9$ at $\delta$ 5.33. This fact suggested that one $\beta$-furancarboxylate ester was located at $\mathrm{C}-9$, and the second thus must be located at C-1. As a result, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was elcidated as $2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$-triacetoxy$1 \beta, 9 \alpha$-di( $\beta$-furancarbonyloxy)- $4 \alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

Compound 6 analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ by hrms. Its nmr, mass, and ir spectra indicated that 6 contained three acetate esters and two benzoate esters (1). As with compound 4 , the similarity of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ (Table 2) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ (Table 1) spectra for 6 to those of the derivatives of alcohol 3 and the same $R_{f}$ value on tlc for the complete hydrolysis product of $\mathbf{6}$ as alcohol $\mathbf{3}$ indicated that $\mathbf{6}$ also was a derivative of alcohol $\mathbf{3}$ and thus had the five esters mentioned above located at C-1, C-2, C-6, C-9, and C-12 of $4 \alpha$-hy-droxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

Compound 6 also gave three normal ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr signals at $\delta 2.02,2.14$, and 2.32 for three acetate methyls. Thus the esters at C-1 and C-9 were both acetate esters or both benzoate esters. A partial hydrolysis of 6 with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave a major product 7 , whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr spectrum exhibited only the presence of one benzoate ester, and retained the signal of $\mathrm{H}-9$ at $\delta 5.47$, which suggested that one benzoate ester was situated at $\mathrm{C}-9$ and the second at C-1. As a result, compound 6 was elucidated as $2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$-triacetoxy$1 \beta, 9 \alpha$-dibenzoyloxy- $4 \alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

Compound 8 analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ by hrms. Its nmr and mass spectra indicated that 8 contained three acetate esters, one benzoate ester, and one $\beta$-furancarboxylate ester. The similarity of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr (Table 2) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ (Table 1) spectra to those of $\mathbf{4}$
and 6 suggested that 8 contained the same parent skeleton as 4 and 6 . Thus 8 also had the five esters mentioned above located at $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{C}-9$, and $\mathrm{C}-12$ of $4 \alpha$-hydroxy-$\beta$-dihydroagarofuran. Furthermore, three normal ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr signals at $\delta 2.06,2.14$, and 2.35 for three acetate methyls suggested that two acetate esters or two aromatic acid esters (benzoate and $\beta$-furancarboxylate esters) were located at $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-9$, respectively. A partial hydrolysis of 8 with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave a major product that had the same $R_{f}$ value as 5 on tle and thus was identified as 5 . Therefore, the $\beta$-furancarboxylate ester was determined to be at $\mathrm{C}-9$, and the benzoate ester thus was at $\mathrm{C}-1$. As a result, compound 8 was elucidated as $2 \beta, 6 \alpha, 12$-triacetoxy- $1 \beta$-benzoyloxy- $9 \alpha$ - $\beta$-furancar-bonyloxy- $4 \alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-dihydroagarofuran.

## EXPERIMENTAL

General experimental procedures.-Melting points were determined on a Kofler apparatus. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{nmr}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-nmr spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM- 400 nmr spectrometer with TMS as internal standard, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO as solvent. Uv spectra in EtOH were obtained on a UV-210A spectrophotometer. Eims were obtained on VG ZAB-HS mass spectrometer operating at 70 eV ionizing energy. Ir spectra were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 577 instrument. Cc was carried out on Si gel (200300 mesh) column with petroleum ether/EtOAc as eluent. Hplc was carried out on a Merck RP-8 column with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as eluent. Preparative tlc was carried out on Merck Si gel 60 F 254 plates with petroleum ether/ $/ \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and cyclohexane $/ \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ as eluent. Detection of components was with a uv lamp. Voucher specimens have been deposited at the Botanical Garden of Kunming Institute of Botany (Academy of Science of China).

Extraction and isolation. -Dried and pulverized seed ( 3 kg ) of E. bungeanus (collected in Yunnan Province, China, and authenticated by the faculty of Department of Systematic Botany, Kunming Institute of Botany, the Academy of Science of China) was extracted with petroleum ether ( 5 liters) at room remperature for 6 days. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure left reddish-brown oil ( 533 g ). After extraction of the oil with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(90: 10)(3 \times 500 \mathrm{ml})$ and concentration of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution under reduced pressure, a crude extract ( 110 g ) was obtained as reddish-brown semisolid. A portion ( 20 g ) of the crude extract was chromatographed on a Si gel column (200-300 mesh, 400 g ) with petroleum etherEtOAc ( $80: 20,70: 30 \rightarrow 20: 80$ ) as eluent to give 86 fractions, which were combined on detection of tlc to give 11 pools. Four minor pools were subjected to reversed-phase hplc on a Merck RP- 8 short column with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80: 20)$ as eluent to give compounds $\mathbf{1}(41 \mathrm{mg}), 4(68 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{6}(55 \mathrm{mg})$, and $8(22 \mathrm{mg})$, respectively.

COMPOUND 1.-Compound 1 was obtained as amorphous white powder: $[\alpha]^{14} \mathrm{D}+44.49$ $\left(c=0.517, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; uv $\lambda \max \mathrm{nm}(\log \epsilon) 281(1.125), 273(1.320), 232(2.369), 201(2.504)$; ir $\nu$ max $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) 2970,2940,1740,1710,1600,1450,1385,1360 ;$ eims $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 574,[\mathrm{M}-42]^{+} 532$, $[\mathrm{M}-59]^{+} 515,[532-60]^{+} 472,[472-15]^{+} 457,[472-120]^{+} 352,202,105(100), 77,43$; hrms $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 574.2429$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{11}, 547.2403$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr see Table 1; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nmr see Table 2.

Compound 2.-The solution of alcohol $\mathbf{3}(40 \mathrm{mg}), 3 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and a little $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ was set aside at room temperature for 6 days. After $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was blown off with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas, the reaction mixture was subjected to preparative chromatography on a Si gel plate with petroleum ether- $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}(60: 40)$ as eluent to give the major product 2 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as an amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nmr see Table 2.

Compound 4.-Compound 4 was obrained as amorphous white powder: $[\alpha]^{14} \mathrm{D}+40.85$ ( $c=0.538, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); uv $\lambda \max \mathrm{nm}(\log \epsilon) 240(1.805), 201(2.207)$; ir $v \operatorname{max~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3550,2970$, 2940, 1570, 1450, 1385, 1365; eims $m / z[M-15]^{+} 617,[M-60]^{+} 572,[\mathrm{M}-120]^{+} 512$, $[572-112]^{+} 460,[512-112]^{+} 400,192,95(100), 43$; hrms $m / z 617.1888$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{14}$, $617.1860) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr see Table 1; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ see Table 2.

Compound 5.-The solution of compound $4(60 \mathrm{mg})$ and 3 ml MeOH was mixed with 1 ml $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution ( 0.1 M ), and then set aside at $25^{\circ}$ for 2 days. After the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, che reaction mixture was subjected to preparative chromatography on a Si gel plate with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$-cyclohexane ( $1: 1$ ) as eluent to afford the major product 5 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ) as an amorphous powder. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-nmr data are summarized in Table 2.

COMPOUND 6. -Compound 6 was obtained as an amorphous white powder: uv $\lambda$ max $n m(\log \epsilon)$ 281 (1.519), 272 (1.492), 232 (2.379), 201 (2.537); ir $\nu \operatorname{max~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3550,2970,2940,1745$, $1720,1600,1450,1380,1365$; eims $m / z[\mathrm{M}-60]^{+} 592,[\mathrm{M}-2 \times 60]^{+} 532,[\mathrm{M}-15-122]^{+} 515$,
[532-122] ${ }^{+} 410,202,105(100), 77,43 ;$ hrms $m / z 592.2321$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{10}, 592.2298$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr see Table $1 ;{ }^{l} \mathrm{H}$ nmr see Table 2.

Compound 7.-Compound 6 ( 55 mg ) was subjected to partial hydrolysis by the same method as mentioned in 5 to give the major product $7(23 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as colorless crystals from MeOH : mp 273-275 (dec); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ see Table 2.

Compound 8.-Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was obrained as an amorphous white powder: eims $m / z[\mathrm{M}-15]^{+}$ 627, $[\mathrm{M}-60]^{+} 582,[\mathrm{M}-120]^{+} 522,[627-122]^{+} 505,202,192,105(100), 95,77,43$, hrms m/z 627.2091 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 627.2067$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{nmr}$ data see Table $1 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nmr see Table 2.
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